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Abstract

The second version of the computer program DRENAS (subsurface agricultural drainage) is presented, which includes four analytical solutions and a finite element solution Galerkin type of the differential
equation of agricultural drainage in its one-dimensional form and can be run under Windows systems of 32-bit or 64-bit. The analytical and numerical models included in version 1.0 of the program were migrated from Visual Basic 2005 to Visual Basic 2017 and modified to expand and improve their calculation, data recording and results visualization capabilities. The numerical model can now solve the non-linear Boussinesq equation with variable coefficients including the vertical recharge term and allows use at the boundary of the drains, Dirichlet condition, linear radiation or fractal radiation. The results provided by the module of the numerical solution of the program were validated using an analytical solution and a drainage test.
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**Resumen**

En este trabajo se presenta la segunda versión del programa de cómputo DRENAS (drenaje agrícola subterráneo), el cual incluye tres soluciones analíticas y una solución de elemento finito tipo Galerkin de la ecuación diferencial del drenaje agrícola en su forma unidimensional; puede ejecutarse bajo sistemas operativos Windows de 32 bits y 64 bits. Los modelos analíticos y numéricos incluidos en la versión 1.0 del programa se reprogramaron en Visual Basic 2017, realizando modificaciones para mejorar sus capacidades de cálculo, registro de datos y visualización de resultados. El modelo numérico se amplió para resolver la ecuación de Boussinesq no lineal con coeficientes variables, incluyendo el término de recarga vertical, y para manejar las opciones
de usar en la frontera de los drenes condiciones tipo Dirichlet, radiación lineal o radiación fractal. Los resultados proporcionados por el módulo de la solución numérica del programa fueron validados satisfactoriamente haciendo uso de una solución analítica y un experimento de drenaje.
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**Introduction**

Agricultural land that presents problems of salinity and/or shallow water table can be recovered or controlled by artificial drainage, which in the case of the farm can be of the subsurface type. The variables to be determined in the design of a subsurface drainage system are the depth of the drains, separation and diameter. In calculating these variables, the characteristics of the soil (texture, structure, physical and hydraulic properties) and the condition of the water flow in the soil must be
considered.

It is possible to carry out studies of water transfer processes in agricultural drainage systems with the Boussinesq equation of the unconfined aquifers, which although it simplifies mass and energy transfers in the vadose zone of the soil, provides general descriptions of the water flow in the saturated zone (Ritzema, 2006). For detailed modeling of water dynamics with the Boussinesq equation, in the case of unconfined aquifers, the dependence of the storage coefficient on the hydraulic head should be considered and the boundary condition in the drains that best represents the water flow drained should be used. On the one hand Fuentes, Zavala & Saucedo (2009) formally establish the relationship between the soil-water retention curve and the storage coefficient in unconfined aquifers, and on the other hand Zavala, Fuentes & Saucedo (2007) demonstrate that the transfer of water from the soil into the drains must be described with a non-linear radiation condition.

Zavala, Saucedo & Fuentes (2014) develop the first computational version to apply the Boussinesq equation with variable storage coefficient subject in the drains to fractal radiation conditions which they call DRENAS (subsurface agricultural drainage). However, the software was programmed in Visual Basic 2005, so it operates only on 32-bit Windows Systems and its graphic and database options depend on Microsoft Office 2003.

The aim of this paper was to develop in Visual Basic 2017, the second version of the DRENAS computer program to expand its calculation capabilities, improve its graphical interface and eliminate its
dependence on external programs for data management and graphics development. In the second version of the software, new calculation options were incorporated and programmed to allow simulation alternatives such as the handling of the recharge or discharge term of the Boussinesq equation as a time-dependent function, representing the initial condition of the hydraulic head as a variable function in the space, possibility of using mechanistic restrictions for the shape parameters of the Van Genuchten model for the soil-water retention curve (Van Genuchten, 1980) when used to define storage coefficient as a function of hydraulic head and the option of use on the drains Dirichlet boundary conditions. With the purpose of debugging the computer program, its validation was carried out considering an analytical solution for subsurface drainage under steady state and data from an experimental laboratory drainage test.

Materials and methods

Base equations
In the computer program, the complete or simplified form of the one-dimensional Boussinesq equation of agricultural drainage is solved. In general it can be written as:

\[
\mu(H) \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ T(H) \frac{\partial H}{\partial x} \right] + R_w(t)
\]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where \( H = H(x, t) \) = hydraulic head measured from an impervious layer or a reference level (L), and is a function of the horizontal coordinate \( x \) and time \( t \); \( T(H) \) = aquifer transmissivity \( (L^2T^{-1}) \), in the case of unconfined aquifers it is \( T(H) = K_s H \); \( K_s \) = saturated hydraulic conductivity \( (LT^{-1}) \); \( \mu(H) \) = storage coefficient that can be a function of the hydraulic head \( H \); \( R_w(t) \) = is the volume of recharge or discharge in the unit of time per unit area of the aquifer \( (LT^{-1}) \).

The recharge or discharge \( R_w(t) \) is a difficult variable to determine since it depends on the flow conditions existing in the soil surface (rain, evaporation, water over soil), the water flow in the soil and the humidity regime in the vadose zone, the stratigraphy of the unsaturated zone of the soil, macroporosity, cracks, etc. In this new version of the numerical simulation model the term \( R_w(t) \) was incorporated representing it by a time-dependent polynomial:

\[
R_w(t > 0) = a_r t^3 + b_r t^2 + c_r t + d_r
\]  \hspace{1cm} (2)
where \( a_r, b_r, c_r \) and \( d_r \) = coefficients to be calculated considering experimental measurements or estimates of the recharge time evolution for a specific event.

The relationship between the storage coefficient and the soil-water retention curve established by Fuentes et al. (2009) was considered:

\[
\mu(H) = \theta_s - \theta(H - H_s)
\]  

(3)

where \( \theta_s \) = saturated volumetric water content \( (L^3L^{-3}) \); and \( H_s \) = elevation of the soil surface \( (L) \). It is possible to obtain an explicit analytical representation of the storage coefficient from equation (3) if the soil-water retention curve is known. The classic van Genuchten relationship (van Genuchten, 1980) was selected, because it is widely accepted in field and laboratory studies because of its descriptive flexibility:

\[
\theta(\psi) = \theta_r + (\theta_s - \theta_r)[1 + (\psi/\psi_d)^n]^{-m}
\]  

(4)

where \( \theta_r \) = residual volumetric water content \( (L^3L^{-3}) \); \( \psi_d < 0 \) = scale parameter of the soil-water pressure potential \( \psi \), \( (L) \); \( m \) and \( n \) = dimensionless shape parameters. This new version of the computer program included mechanistic relations between \( m \) and \( n \) that satisfy the infiltration theory, the classic Burdine restriction (Burdine, 1953) " \( m = 1 - 2/n \) " as well as the fractal relationships of Fuentes, Brambila, Vauclin, Parlange & Haverkamp (2001) that derive in their study of the
hydraulic conductivity of unsaturated soils. Fractal constraints are the so-called neutral pore \( m = (1 - 4s/n)/s \), geometric pore \( m = (1 - 2s/n)/s \) and big pore \( m = (1 - 4s/n)/2s \); where \( s \) = quotient dimension of the fractal object, as the soil is considered in that study, "s" being the ratio between the fractal dimension of the object "\( D_f \)" (Mandelbrot, 1982; Falconer, 2014) and the Euclidean space "E", \( s = D_f /E \).

By entering equation (4) into equation (3) the following relationship was obtained:

\[
\mu(H) = (\theta_s - \theta_r)[1 - [1 + ((H - H_s)/\psi_d)^n]^{-m}] 
\]  

(5)

The fractal radiation condition of Zavala et al. (2007) was retained in this second version of the computer program:

\[
q_d = \gamma K_{in}[(H_d - D_o)/P]^{2s} 
\]  

(6)

where \( q_d \) = drain flow; \( \gamma \) = dimensionless conductance coefficient; \( K_{in} = \sqrt{K_sK_d} \) = soil-drain interface conductivity, \( K_s \) = saturated hydraulic conductivity of the soil, \( K_d \) = drain wall conductivity; \( P \) = depth of drains; \( H_d \) = hydraulic head in the drain position; \( D_o \) = aquifer thickness; \( \bar{s} = (1/2)(s_1+s_d) \) = quotient dimension of the soil-drain interface; \( s_1 \) = quotient dimension of the soil; and \( s_d \) = quotient dimension of the drain wall. The relationship between areal porosity
(μ_{\text{areal}}) and volumetric porosity (φ) is obtained according to the probabilistic idea as μ_{\text{areal}} = φ^s φ^s = φ^{2s}, it is necessary that volumetric porosity is:

\[(1 - φ)^s + φ^{2s} = 1\]  (7)

and areal porosity:

\[(1 - μ_{\text{areal}})^{\frac{1}{s}} + μ_{\text{areal}}^{\frac{1}{2s}} = 1\]  (8)

The conductivity of the drain wall is obtained with a formula based on Poiseuille's law: \(K_d = (1/2)(g/ν)μ_{\text{areal},d}(R_{HD})^2\), where \(g\) = gravity acceleration; \(ν\) = water kinematic viscosity; \(R_{HD}\) = hydraulic radius of the drain. The option to directly manage the linear radiation condition (\(s = 0.5\) in equation 6) was introduced in version 2.0 of DRENAS without using the \(s_1\) and \(s_d\) routines.

Simplified numerical modeling of agricultural drainage can be done by imposing Dirichlet type boundary conditions (hydraulic head in the drain position). In this new version of the computer program, this boundary condition was incorporated as an alternative to the radiation condition (6); the next function that depends on the time was selected:

\[H(x_{\text{dren}}, t > 0) = a_d t + b_d t^{\frac{1}{s}} + c_d + d_d t^{-\frac{1}{s}}\]  (9)
where $x_{dren}$ = horizontal coordinate where the drain is located; $y$
$a_d$, $b_d$, $c_d$ and $d_d$ = coefficients that must be calculated from
measurements taken of the evolution of the hydraulic head on the drain.
Equation (9) has the flexibility to describe extreme behaviors of the
hydraulic head above the drain (rise and fall water level).

Finally, to perform the numerical simulation with equation (1), the
initial state of the hydraulic head in the system must be defined, in this
work the option of using up to a third degree polynomial that is a
function of the horizontal coordinate $x$ is included:

$$H(x, t = 0) = a_p x^3 + b_p x^2 + c_p x + d_p \quad (10)$$

where $a_p$, $b_p$, $c_p$ and $d_p$ = coefficients to be determined from
measurements of the hydraulic head in the system at the initial moment
of calculation or simulation.

In the numerical solution of the system (1)-(10) the Galerkin type
finite element method was used for spatial discretization, a finite
difference scheme for temporal discretization, Picard's iterative method
for linearization of the resulting system and a Preconditioned conjugate
gradient method for the solution of the system of algebraic equations
(Zavala et al., 2014; Zienkiewicz, Taylor, & Zhu, 2013; Noor & Peters,
1987). The system of equations resulting from discretization was
It is known that simplified calculations of separation between drains and groundwater abatement can be performed by applying analytical solutions obtained for reduced forms of the Boussinesq equation (equation 1). For example, for the steady flow regime there is the Hooghoudt formula (Hooghoudt, 1940) and in unsteady flow the relations of Glover-Dumm (Dumm, 1954) and Fuentes et al. (1997), which were already included in the original version of the computer program.

Graphical interface development

In the second version of the DRENAS computer program, all the information capture modules of the original version were reprogrammed in Visual Basic 2017, independent internal databases of Microsoft Access were developed, the processing of the graphics was disconnected from Microsoft Excel, and the executable file was generated to install DRENAS 2.0 on any computer that has a 64-bit Windows Operating System and even the option for 32-bit Windows Systems was also generated.

The DRENAS 2.0 program has two calculation modules, one called analytical solutions and another numerical model (Figure 1). The analytical solutions module has four sections, two to perform simulations for steady water flow conditions using the Hooghoudt
solution (Hooghoudt, 1940) and two for unsteady flow conditions, one to perform calculations with the Glover-Dumm solution (Dumm, 1954) and the other with the solution of Fuentes et al. (1997). In these four sections it is possible to calculate the separation between drains or the drainage module. Regarding the original version of the DRENAS model, in version 2.0 these sections were improved by incorporating the following alternatives: a) Storage of simulations performed in an internal database; b) Loading of previous examples; c) Generation of a simulation report and alternative to export it to a pdf file; d) The results graph can also be exported as an image. An example of a calculation section of the analytical solutions module is presented in Figure 2.

![Figure 1. General screen of the DRENAS 2.0.](image1)

![Figure 2. A calculation section of the analytical solutions module.](image2)

The numerical solution module was programmed in a Tab Index form that contains six sections: four are developed for the capture of data related to the drainage system, drain characteristics, soil properties and data necessary for numerical simulation such as those related time
control, spatial discretization, boundary conditions and graphical display of results; The fifth tab serves to store the sample data in an internal database and the sixth section contains the button to execute the numerical simulation.

The new capabilities of the numerical simulation module that were programmed were established as follows:

a) First, from the UNSODA 2.0 database (Nemes, Schaap, Leij, & Wösten, 2001), soil-water retention curves were selected (208 soils), were adjusted with Equation (4) considering the mechanistic restrictions between \( m \) y \( n \) described above (Zavala, Saucedo, & Fuentes, 2018) and the results obtained were included in an internal database of the computer program (Figure 3). If the option to use data from this program base is selected, when choosing the type of soil required, the sections of physical, hydraulic properties and storage coefficient are automatically filled with their corresponding parameters. The improvement to highlight in this new version is that the relationships between \( m \) and \( n \) of Burdine models, neutral pore, geometric pore and big pore can be managed (Figure 4).
In the numerical solution of the Boussinesq equation, the recharge term ($R_w(t)$) was incorporated as a function of time (equation 2), the necessary programming was carried out to be able to use the Dirichlet type boundary condition (equation 9) and the initial condition described by equation (10) was also programmed, and in Figure 5 the new section developed to include these three conditions is presented.
c) A new section was developed to record the simulation data, load data to compare simulations as well as to select the type of graph to be displayed in real time that does not depend on external programs (Figure 6).

![Figure 6. Numerical module: simulation graphs.](image)

**Results and discussion**

The results of the computer program were compared against external results (analytical solution and a laboratory drainage test) to detect and correct programming errors and check the consistency of the coded solutions.
Validation 1

An analytical solution of the Hooghoudt type presented in Fragoza et al. (2003) that considers linear radiation (\( \bar{s} = 0.5 \) in equation 6) in the drains to describe the evolution of the free surface in an subsurface drainage system with constant recharge (\( R_o \)) and steady-state water flow:

\[
H^2(x) = H_c^2 + (R_o/K_s)x(L - x) \tag{11}
\]

where \( H_c = \) hydraulic head to center between drains. If defined \( h(x,t) = H(x,t) - D_o \) and consider the linear radiation condition:

\[
h_d = \left[ \sqrt{(4 + \gamma)^2D_o^2 + 8(2 + \gamma)h_c(h_c + 2D_o) - (4 + \gamma)D_o^2} \right] / [2(2 + \gamma)] \tag{12}
\]

where \( h_d = \) hydraulic head above the drain (\( x=0 \) and \( x=L \)); \( D_o = \) aquifer thickness; and \( \gamma = \) conductance coefficient of the linear radiation condition used in the drains. Analyzing equation (2) it is deduced for this case that \( a_r=b_r=c_r=0 \) and \( d_r=R_o \).
The data of the drainage system are those reported by Fragoza et al. (2003) and correspond to a field experiment conducted in the irrigation district 076 Valle del Carrizo, Sinaloa. The drainage system evaluated has a separation between drains $L = 50$ m, drain depth $P = 1.5$ m, depth of the impervious layer $D_o = 3.5$ m, elevations of the impervious layer and the soil surface $H_i=0.0$ m and $H_s=5.0$ m. The soil is a clay with a saturation hydraulic conductivity of $K_s = 0.557$ m/d and a storage coefficient $\mu = 0.1087$. It is assumed $\gamma = 1.5$ and $h_c = 0.5$ m, and with equation (12) allows to obtain $h_d = 0.365$ m and the recharge value obtained with the condition for steady-state flow that the drain discharge is equal to the recharge is $R_o = 0.000944$ m/d. With these values, equation (11) was applied to obtain the spatial distribution of the hydraulic head along the separation between drains.

The described scenario was reproduced with the computer program, modeling the unsteady process of water flow in the system until reaching the steady state, which corresponds to that described with the analytical solution. Initial condition of constant hydraulic head was assumed $H(x, t=0) = 4.50$ m ($a_p = b_p = c_p= 0$ and $d_p = 4.50$ m in the equation 10) and to have the same conditions of the analytical solution, the linear radiation boundary condition was used in the program, $\bar{s} = 0.5$ in the equation (6). The hypothesis of equality between the conductivity of the soil and the conductivity of the drain wall was considered $K_s = K_d$, which implies $K_{in} = K_s = K_d$. The conductance coefficient value reported by Fragoza et al. (2003), to adapt it to the form of radiation that is handled in DRENAS 2.0, getting $\gamma = 0.045$. Spatial discretization was performed with the number of nodes $N = 1000$ ($\Delta x = 0.05$ m) and in the
discretization of time was used $\Delta t_{ini} = 1.157E-05 \text{ d}$, $\Delta t_{max} = 6.94E-04 \text{ d}$ and $\Delta t_{min} = 1.157E-06 \text{ d}$. The simulation time was 720 days.

This comparison is evidence that the information capture modules of the numerical solution of the program and in particular the calculation module that handles the term of the vertical recharge, initial condition and boundary condition, operate correctly and are free of errors of programming; it is also observed that the simulated series are stable and free of numerical oscillations (Figure 7).

![Figure 7](image-url)

**Figure 7.** Simulation of an agricultural drainage scenario (constant storage coefficient and constant vertical recharge).

**Validation 2**
Zavala, Fuentes & Saucedo (2004) conducted an experiment in a drainage module in which two drains of 30 cm in length ($L_D$) and 5 cm in diameter ($D_D$) were installed, with $N_o = 233$ circular perforations of diameter $d_o = 0.158$ cm evenly distributed on the surface of each tube. The dimensions of each drain: $L = 100$ cm, $P = 120$ cm and $D_o = 25$ cm. The module was filled with sandy textured soil that was saturated by applying a constant water head on the soil surface until the air was removed. With the drains covered, excess water was removed on the surface and covered to eliminate evaporation. Finally, the drains were uncovered and the volume of drained water measured for 10 days (240 hours); it should be noted that the initial condition corresponds to $H(x,0) = P + D_o$ ($d_p = 145$ cm in the equation 10 and the rest of its coefficients are null) and the recharge is null ($R_w = 0$ in the equation 2).

Soil porosity was $\Phi_1 = 0.5396$ cm$^3$/cm$^3$ and with this value the equation (7) was solved obtaining $s_1 = 0.7026$. The saturation hydraulic conductivity value is $K_s = 18.3$ cm/h. The aquifer storage coefficient is described with equation (5) for the parameters $\theta_s = \Phi_1$, $\theta_r = 0$, $\psi_d = -41.8$ cm and $n = 2/(1-m) = 3.19$ (Burdine, 1953).

The porous areal of the drain is $\mu_{\text{areal,}_d} = A_o/A_d = 0.0097$ cm$^2$/cm$^2$ and when solving the relationship (8) $s_d = 0.5688$. With the hydraulic radius of the circular holes in the drain wall $R_{HD} = 0.0395$ cm, water kinematic viscosity $\nu = 36$ cm$^2$/h, Poiseuille's law is applied to calculate the conductivity of the drain wall $K_d = 2,670.8$ cm/h. The conductivity values in the soil-drain interface and the relative dimension are $K_{in} = 221.08$ cm/h and $\bar{s} = 0.6357$. The coefficient $\gamma$ of fractal radiation reported by Zavala et al. (2004) was reinterpreted to adapt it to the
form of radiation that is handled in \textit{DRENAS} 2.0 (equation 6), obtaining \( \gamma = 0.0749 \).

The variable storage coefficient and the initial condition of total saturation of the porous medium cause the simulation to be executed by starting small time steps at the beginning of the simulation, which minimizes problems of convergence and numerical stability. The time steps used are \( \Delta t_{ini} = 2.77 \times 10^{-4} \) h, \( \Delta t_{ini} = 2.77 \times 10^{-5} \) h and \( \Delta t_{max} = 0.2 \) h. The number of nodes to discretize the space is 200.

In Figure 8 (a and b), the simulation data capture process and the evolution of the drained depth are presented. In Figure 8b it can be seen that the computer program successfully reproduces the experimental data of the drained depth, having a stable and oscillation-free numerical solution, which is an indicator that the variable storage coefficient module works correctly. The time and space steps used in the simulation are adequate since the calculated total drained depth (23.915 cm) differs from the measured depth (23.92 cm) in only 0.03%.

![Simulation data capture process and evolution of the drained depth](image)

\( \text{a) Information capture: variable} \quad \text{b) Depths drained: experimental} \)
storage coefficient. and simulated.

**Figure 8.** Simulation of an agricultural drainage scenario (variable storage coefficient, null recharge and fractal radiation condition in the drains).

### Conclusions

The new version of the *DRENAS* computer program (version 2.0) is a useful tool to describe the hydraulic operation of subsurface drains, to design a drainage system and solve inverse problems of hydrodynamic characterization of soils from drainage events. This version of the computational tool incorporates the following functions: a) internal bases for storing the data of the examples analyzed; b) expanded UNSODA (soil database) with parameters for hydraulic conductivity and soil-water retention models of the neutral pore, geometric mean pore and big pore; b) option to represent the aquifer recharge or discharge as a function of time; d) option to represent the initial condition of the hydraulic head as a function of space and to use Dirichlet boundary conditions that vary over time; e) generates simulation reports and allows export of results graphs. The graphical display of the modeling avoids dependence on external programs and runs on 32-bit and 64-bit *Windows* Operating Systems.
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